



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
MANAGER'S REPORT

DATE ISSUED: April 11, 2001 REPORT NO. 01-066

ATTENTION: Honorable Mayor and City Council
Docket of April 17, 2001.

SUBJECT: Appeal of Planning Commission's Approval, **Chevron Gas Station - Sorrento Hills**; Planned Commercial Development Permit and Conditional Use Permit No. 99-1200. (Process 3)

REFERENCE: Planning Report No. P-00-007, Planning Commission agenda of February 1, 2001, approving a proposal to construct a gas station, convenience store, and car wash on the subject property (which is the subject of this appeal).

APPLICANT/
OWNER: Chevron Products Company

SUMMARY

Issues - Consideration of an appeal of the decision of the Planning Commission approving a proposal to construct and operate a self-service gas station, convenience store, and car wash on a vacant 2.5-acre site located within the Sorrento Hills Community at the intersection of East Ocean Air Drive and Carmel Mountain Road.

Manager's Recommendations -

1. State for the record that the information contained in final Mitigated Negative Declaration No. 99-1200 has been reviewed and considered prior to approving this project/permit; and
2. Deny both the appeals of the applicant and the Torrey Hills Community Coalition and Approve Conditional Use and Planned Commercial Development Permit 99-1200, subject to the specific conditions of approval (Attachment 4) which were

recommended for this project by the Planning Commission.

Environmental Impact - The final Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND 99-1200) prepared for the project concluded that specific measures would need to be incorporated into the project design in order to mitigate impacts associated with exterior water quality/hydrology, noise, and paleontological resources to a less than significant level.

Hearing Officer Recommendation - On December 13, 2000, the Hearing Officer approved the proposed project, subject to specific conditions of approval. That decision was subsequently appealed to the Planning Commission by the Sorrento Hills Community Planning Board.

Planning Commission Recommendation - On February 1, 2001, the Planning Commission voted (6-0-0) to deny the Sorrento Hills Community Planning Board's appeal and upheld the previous decision of the Hearing Officer approving the project. However, the Commission conditioned their approval of this project upon the following modifications:

- a. Limit the hours of operation for the proposed gas station and convenience store from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.
- b. Eliminate Chevron's standard "blue stripe" and "Chevron" wordmark from the side panel of the steel canopy proposed above the fuel pump area.
- c. Establish and maintain a 3-foot high landscape berm along the edge of the new building pad fronting on Carmel Mountain Road.
- d. Modify the landscape plan to replace all deciduous trees with non-deciduous species (minimum 24-inch box size "broad leaf evergreen"); and install double-rows of evergreen shrubs (minimum 4- to 5-foot high) along the project's Carmel Mountain Road frontage to provide increased screening of the proposed commercial uses on this site from existing residences to the north.
- e. Modify the size of the monument sign proposed along the project frontage on Carmel Mountain Road to be the same size as the monument sign proposed on East Ocean Air Drive (maximum 3-feet high and 8-feet long).

Additionally, the Planning Commission directed staff to incorporate the following information into the project's environmental document (MND 99-1200):

"During the public testimony, the appellants raised the issue of a possible linkage between adverse health effects and the ionization of benzene and/or other gas station emissions, and stated that studies of such a linkage have been done at Bristol University in England. The ionization is a site-specific concern of the appellants, due to the proximity of the gas station fuel dispensers and tanks to the

SDG&E transmission lines located to the southwest (the fuel dispensing area is approximately 300 feet from the SDG&E parcel). Gas stations and their vapor recovery standards are regulated by the San Diego Air Pollution Control District (APCD). A site-specific risk assessment must be performed by the APCD and air quality standards must be met before the gas station is allowed to operate. The CEQA analysis contained in this document does not identify any air quality or health impacts related to the Chevron project because the responsibility for ensuring that such effects do not occur is assigned to another regulatory agency. This information does not affect the analysis or conclusions of this document.”

Community Planning Group Recommendation: On October 17, 2000, the Sorrento Hills Community Planning Board voted unanimously (8-0) to recommend denial of the proposed project (Attachment 10). The Board cited inconsistencies of the project design with the Community Plan’s existing neighborhood-commercial designation, potential environmental impacts (pertaining to air quality, transportation, neighborhood character, public safety), and the applicant’s proposal to operate a 24-hour commercial use on the site as the primary reasons for their unfavorable recommendation. Further, the Planning Board recommended the following modifications to the project design:

- a. Limit the hours of operation for the commercial uses on the site from 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m.
- b. Eliminate the car wash use.
- c. Modify the design of the monument signs proposed along the project frontage to comply with signage standards of the “Carmel Valley Community Plan”.
- d. Modify the project’s landscape plan to provide for more mature trees and shrubs.

Fiscal Impact - None anticipated with this project.

Housing Affordability Impact - None anticipated with this project.

Code Enforcement Impact - None with this project.

BACKGROUND:

The 2.48-acre project site (Attachments 1 and 2) is located at the southwest corner of Carmel Mountain Road and East Ocean Air Drive within the CC-1-3 (formerly the CA) zone of the Sorrento Hills Community Plan. The Community Plan currently designates this site for neighborhood-commercial development; and includes specific language (and an accompanying illustration) in the plan text (Attachment 12) which identifies a gas station and car wash as permitted uses on the subject property (with approval of a Conditional Use Permit). The community plan also states that this site, which is identified in the plan text as part of a planned

neighborhood-commercial center, should be developed as a Planned Commercial Development; hence the requirement for a PCD permit.

The entire site is vacant and has been graded in accordance with a previous tentative map approved for the area and; as a result, the majority of the site is relatively flat. The site is surrounded by vacant property designated for neighborhood-commercial development to the east; and vacant, steeply sloped property adjacent to the south which is designated for open space; and existing multi- and single-family development to the north (across Carmel Mountain Road). An existing SDG&E utility easement is located further to the southwest of the subject property (above the existing steep slope).

On March 17, 1997, the City Council approved an amendment to the Sorrento Hills Community Plan (CPA/RZ 95-0554 - "*Torrey Hills*") which, in part, redesignated the subject property from light-industrial to neighborhood-commercial; and amended the plan text to include specific language and an accompanying illustration in the amended plan text (pages 48-49 - Attachment 12) identifying a gas station and car wash as permitted uses on the project site. The 1997 plan amendment also included a corresponding rezone of the project site from M-1B to CA (now the CC-1-3 zone per the Land Development Code).

The CUP/PCD application for this project was submitted to and deemed complete by staff in December, 1999; and is therefore subject to the ordinance provisions of the Municipal Code applicable to this site prior to effectuation of the City's Land Development Code (January, 2000). In accordance with those code provisions, the proposed project is subject to the land use and development regulations of the (then-existing) CA zone, and requires approval of a combination Planned Commercial Development/Conditional Use Permit.

On December 13, 2000, the Hearing Officer approved the proposed project. That decision was subsequently appealed to the Planning Commission by the Sorrento Hills Community Planning Board.

On February 1, 2001, the Planning Commission voted unanimously (6-0-0) to deny the Planning Board's appeal and upheld the previous decision of the Hearing Officer approving the project, subject to specific modifications of the project design and hours of operation for the proposed commercial uses on this site. There was a considerable amount of testimony presented in opposition to the project at this hearing and the decision of the Planning Commission was subsequently appealed to the City Council by both the applicant (Chevron Corporation) and the Torrey Hills Community Coalition (Attachment 9).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

In summary, the project proposes to construct and operate a self-service gasoline station, convenience store, and an automated car wash on the subject property (Attachments 2, 3 and 6). Following is a description of the various building and site improvements proposed for this project:

Gas station: Construct a self-service gas station in the central portion of the property (Attachment 2); including the installation of two (20,000 gallon) underground fuel storage tanks, six fuel pump islands (totaling 12 fuel dispensers), and construction of a 23-foot high steel frame canopy above the fuel pump area. This phase of the project requires approval of a Planned Commercial Development Permit and a Conditional Use Permit (per Municipal Code section 101.0510) to authorize the proposed gas station land use on the site.

Convenience store: Construct a one-story (3,000 square-foot) convenience store in the northeast portion of the property (Attachments 2 and 6). This building (maximum height of 26-feet) would be constructed with natural stone, painted stucco walls, and a clay tile roof. In addition to the retail sale of food, fuel and general merchandise items, the applicant is also proposing to sell alcoholic beverages (beer and wine only) in the convenience store; which requires a Conditional Use Permit (per Municipal Code section 101.0515).

Carwash: Construct a one-story automated car wash in the southeastern portion of the site, behind the proposed fuel pump area (Attachments 2 and 6). The plans indicate that the design of this structure (maximum height of 16-feet, 6-inches) would match the architectural style of the convenience store.

Parking: A total of 16 parking spaces would be provided on site for customers and employees of the development. The amount and location of these parking spaces is consistent with requirements of the City Engineer.

Access: Vehicle access to the site would be provided via the construction of three commercial driveways; including two (30-foot wide) driveways along the eastern project frontage on East Ocean Air Drive, and one (35-foot wide) driveway along the project's Carmel Mountain Road frontage.

Landscaping: The project's landscape plan (Attachment 3) includes a variety of street trees, shrubs and groundcovers which were selected by the applicant to achieve compliance with the City's Landscape Technical Manual and the Sorrento Hills Community Plan; and features the installation of a number of trees and decorative shrubs along the perimeter of both street frontages; and at various locations within the site's interior.

In accordance with the Planning Commission's approval of this project, and prior to the recordation of any permits with the County Recorder's Office, the applicant will be required to modify the project's landscape plan to replace all deciduous trees with non-deciduous species (24-inch box "broad leaf evergreen"); and install double-rows of evergreen shrubs (4- to 5-foot high) along the project frontage on Carmel Mountain Road to provide increased screening of the proposed commercial uses.

Exterior Lighting: Given the site's proximity to existing residential development to the north (across Carmel Mountain Road), the applicant has designed an exterior lighting plan for the project to minimize off-site lighting impacts; and which features the use of low-pressure sodium

lights to minimize light emanating outside of the project boundary. Additionally, external lights proposed on the walls of the convenience store and service station canopy will be aligned and shielded to refract only upon the hardscape surfaces below to minimize lighting glare from these “activity areas” on adjacent properties.

Hours of Operation: The applicant is proposing to operate the gas station and convenience store on this site between the hours of 5:00 a.m. - midnight; and the automated car wash between 7:00 a.m. - 10:00 p.m. The hours of operation proposed for the gas station / convenience store are inconsistent with the maximum hours (5:00 a.m. - midnight) approved by the Planning Commission this project.

PROJECT DATA:

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS	REQUIRED	PROPOSED
Community Plan	Sorrento Hills	Sorrento Hills
Land Use Designation	Neighborhood Commercial	Neighborhood Commercial
Existing Zone	CA	CA
Lot Area	10,000 square-feet (minimum)	108,000 square-feet
Building Floor Area	216,000 square-feet (maximum)	3,900 square-feet
Floor Area Ratio	2.0 (maximum)	0.04
Building Coverage	60 % (maximum)	4 %
Front Yard Setback	10 - Feet	44 - Feet
Street Yard Setback	10 - Feet	32 - Feet
Interior Side Yard Setback	0 - Feet	N/A
Rear Yard Setback	0 - Feet	40 - Feet
Parking	15 spaces	16 spaces

APPEAL ISSUES / PROJECT ANALYSIS:

Both the applicant (Chevron Corporation) and the Torrey Hills Community Coalition (THCC) have appealed (Attachment 9) the February 1, 2001 decision of the Planning Commission approving this project based upon the following issues:

Issue: hours of operation - The Chevron appellant (applicant) proposes to operate the gas

station and convenience store between the hours of 5:00 a.m. - midnight. The THCC appellant is recommending that the hours of operation for this project be more restrictive to achieve compatibility with the neighborhood character of this portion of the community, possibly between 6:00 a.m. - 9:00 p.m (as recommended for this project by the Sorrento Hills Planning Board).

STAFF RESPONSE:

Chevron's original proposal was to operate the gas station and convenience store 24 hours/day, which is permitted by the existing CA zone. In an effort to address the noise concerns of surrounding residents, the applicant has since modified the proposed hours of operation for the gas station / store to 5:00 a.m. - midnight. However, these revised hours are inconsistent with the Planning Commission's approval of this project (condition 40 - Attachment 4), which limited the hours of operation from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.

In their appeal letter, Chevron cites financial viability and anticipated service station demands during both peak and off-peak hours as the primary justification for their proposal to operate the gas station and convenience store between 5:00 a.m. - midnight. Although the applicant's proposal is consistent with the existing zone (which allows 24-hour uses), staff believes that the hours of operation approved by the Planning Commission (6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) would achieve a greater level of consistency with the Community Plan's neighborhood-commercial designation for this site.

Staff therefore recommends that the City Council adopt the Planning Commission's recommended hours of operation for this project (6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.), and has incorporated the Commission's recommendation as a specific requirement of the project's CUP permit (Attachment 4).

Issue: land use - The THCC appellant has indicated that the proposed gas station/car wash land use is inconsistent with the applicable Land Use Plan (Sorrento Hills Community Plan) for this site.

STAFF RESPONSE:

The City Council approved an amendment to the Sorrento Hills Community Plan in 1997 (CPA 95-0554 - "Torrey Hills") which, in part, redesignated the subject property from light-industrial to neighborhood-commercial (Attachment 13), and amended the Community Plan text to include specific language (page 48) and an accompanying illustration (page 49) identifying a gas station and car wash as permitted uses on the subject property (Attachment 12). Therefore, staff has determined that the project is consistent with the Community Plan's land use designation for this site.

Issue: zoning - The THCC appellant alleges that there are errors in the existing zoning of this site, and implies that the actual zoning should be CN (neighborhood-commercial), and not CA (area-commercial) as indicated by staff.

STAFF RESPONSE:

Staff has researched the available files and City Council documents which were approved in association with the 1997 amendment to the Sorrento Hills Community Plan (CPA 95-0554 - “Torrey Hills”), and staff has confirmed that the Council action regarding this plan amendment involved the rezoning of the project site from M1-B to CA (not CN as alleged by the appellant).

Staff acknowledges that the existing CA zoning on this site does not specifically implement the Community Plan’s neighborhood-commercial designation and; consequently, is currently processing a separate rezoning of the subject property from CA to CN to bring the existing zoning into compliance with the Community Plan’s neighborhood-commercial designation. However, staff’s processing of this rezone application would have no impact on the proposed project because of the following:

- a) The Sorrento Hills Community Plan specifically identifies a gas station and car wash as permitted land uses on the site and;
- b) Pursuant to the pre- Land Development Code provisions in effect for this site, a gas station is permitted in both the CA and CN zones, subject to approval of a Conditional Use Permit (as proposed).

Issue: air quality impacts - The THCC appellant alleges that the project’s environmental document (MND 99-1200) failed to adequately address potentially significant air quality impacts associated with the proposed gas station use on the project site, and that a detailed air quality analysis by the San Diego Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD) should have been conducted (and any associated air quality impacts disclosed) as part of this project’s environmental review process.

STAFF RESPONSE:

As indicated in the project’s MND, gas stations and their vapor recovery standards are regulated by the SDAPCD. Significant air quality impacts due to the growth and development of the Sorrento Hills community was addressed in the “Torrey Hills” Environmental Impact Report (EIR 91-0540) which was certified by the City Council in January, 1997. The EIR states, in part, that: *“The SDAPCD has a comprehensive air toxic program in place that guarantees emissions from hi-tech operations would not pose adverse health risks to adjacent residents, even if the resident lives adjacent to the site 24 hours per day for 70 years.”*

Based upon the preceding excerpt from the Torrey Hills EIR, staff has determined that the proposed project would not result in a significant impact to the air quality/human health within the surrounding area, according to the standards established and regulated by the SDAPCD.

In accordance with standards of the City’s Building Permit Division, the applicant will be required to obtain the necessary air quality permit approvals from SDAPCD (which includes a determination of compliance with applicable regulations of the California Health and Safety Code) prior to the issuance of any building permits for this project. Correspondingly, failure of

the applicant to obtain the necessary permit approvals from the SDAPCD will negate the applicant's ability to obtain building permits from the City of San Diego for this project.

Issue: toxic emissions from electronic and magnetic fields - The THCC appellant alleges that the project's environmental document failed to sufficiently evaluate the potential health risks associated with the ionization of toxic emissions caused by nearby power lines (i.e. SDG&E transmission towers above the existing slope adjacent to the south) and specifically, adverse health impacts associated with electronic and magnetic fields (or EMF).

STAFF RESPONSE:

As indicated in the project's Mitigated Negative Declaration, perceived health hazards (such as the risk of carcinogen exposure on the area population as indicated by the appellant) are considered to be those that members of the general public and/or the scientific community believe to exist, or to potentially exist, but have not been scientifically substantiated. The presence of electronic and magnetic fields associated with electric power lines is a highly controversial perceived health hazard. A 1992 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency publication entitled "Questions and Answers About Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF's)" concluded that there is no universally agreed upon cause and effect relationship between EMF exposure and cancer or other diseases.

Issue: traffic impacts- The THCC appellant indicates that the overall average daily trip's (ADT) generated by the proposed project will result in significant traffic impacts within the surrounding area.

STAFF RESPONSE:

The project's final environmental document included a response (comment # 40 of MND 99-1200) to an inquiry received regarding this issue during the public review period for this document. In summary, the MND concluded that the project would generate approximately 959 cumulative daily trips to the site, which is consistent with the projected 20,000 ADT for Carmel Mountain Road and 8,000 ADT for East Ocean Air Drive, both of which front the site.

Further, the project's MND concluded that the total ADT's projected to be generated by this project would be consistent with the transportation element of the Sorrento Hills Community Plan, and is not anticipated to result in adverse traffic impacts within this portion of the community (refer also to Attachment 14 - Project Traffic Information).

Issue: exterior lighting impacts - In the previous appeal of this project to the Planning Commission (February 1, 2001), the Sorrento Hills Planning Board has stated that headlight glare from vehicles exiting the site's Carmel Mountain Road driveway will adversely impact existing residences located across the street to the north and northwest.

STAFF RESPONSE:

According to the project design, vehicles exiting the western end of the site would follow a drive aisle which would be aligned in a northwesterly direction from the gas pump/convenience store

area to the projects' new driveway on Carmel Mountain Road. Headlight glare from vehicles exiting the site in this direction is not considered significant due to the distance (approximately 190- to 200-feet) between the proposed driveway and existing residences further northwest of the project site.

At the point of exiting the site at the end of the project's driveway on Carmel Mountain Road, vehicles would be positioned on a 4% - 6% downgrade (perpendicular to the existing travel lanes on Carmel Mountain Road) as they await to make a right turn onto Carmel Mountain Road. From this location, the headlight glare of the vehicles exiting the site would emanate onto the existing Carmel Mountain Road pavement, and not upon the existing residences to the north, which are partially shielded by an existing (approximately 6-foot high) masonry wall.

The applicant has designed an exterior lighting (i.e. photometric) plan for the project to minimize off-site lighting impacts; and which features the use of low-pressure sodium lights to minimize light emanating outside of the project boundary. Additionally, the lighting plan indicates that external lights proposed on the walls of the convenience store and service station canopy will be aligned and shielded to refract only upon the hardscape surfaces below to minimize lighting glare from these "activity areas" on adjacent properties, which are approximately 190- to 200-feet away. The City's Inspection Services Division has reviewed the project's photometric plan and has determined that the proposed lighting plan exceeds the minimum light pollution prevention requirements for a CUP permit, and would adequately mitigate impacts associated with glare on existing residences to the north.

Issue: noxious odors - In the previous appeal of this project to the Planning Commission (February 1, 2001), the Sorrento Hills Planning Board indicated that the project's environmental document did not provide an analysis of potential impacts concerning gas station odor, and particularly, odors associated with the fuel additive MTBE.

STAFF RESPONSE:

Staff concurs with the appellant in that MTBE has been associated with objectionable odors at other gas station locations. However, in accordance with State law, the MTBE additive is scheduled to be eliminated from California gasoline by January, 2002. The applicant has indicated that the proposed project will not likely be completed and operational until August or October, 2001, which mitigates the appellant's concern regarding this particular issue.

Issue: geological impacts- The THCC appellant indicates that the project's environmental document (MND 99-1200) failed to adequately address project related issues associated with potentially adverse geologic conditions and landslides.

STAFF RESPONSE:

The applicant's geotechnical consultant has prepared a geotechnical report for the proposed project ("Preliminary Foundation Soils Exploration and Pavement Design Recommendations," prepared by Geo-Etka, Inc, dated November 2, 1999) and a response to City Geology review ("Response to City Geology Review Questions, Station Number 20-8020, Southwest Corner of

Carmel Mountain Avenue and East Ocean Air Drive, San Diego,” prepared by Geo-Ekta, dated July 5, 2000). The applicants geotechnical consultant performed additional geologic mapping of the subject cut slope and stated “no existing landslide deposits are present at the site.”

On July 25, 2000, the City Geologist accepted the conclusions identified in the referenced geotechnical report and response, and determined that the proposed development would not result in project-induced impacts associated with adverse geologic impacts, landslides, or landform erosion.

However, prior to the issuance of any grading permits for this project, the applicant will be required to submit detailed construction plans and an updated geotechnical report for review/approval by the City Geologist as necessary to confirm the conclusions identified in the project’s “Preliminary Foundation Soils Exploration and Pavement Design Recommendations” and “Response to City Geology Review Questions” documents.

Issue: completion check - The THCC appellant alleges that the project’s initial permit application (submitted in November, 1999) failed to include a number of items which should have been submitted prior to the City deeming this application “complete”, and that these items were not provided to staff until after effectuation of the City’s Land Development Code (LDC) on January 1, 2000. Consequently, the appellant claims that this project should be subject to the land use provisions of the LDC.

STAFF RESPONSE:

The specific submittal items identified as “missing” by the appellant were not required as part of staff’s initial completion check process, but were identified by the various staff reviewers as requested plan information in the project’s first assessment letter (dated January 28, 2000). Similarly, the “Extended Initial Study” letter prepared for this project by the City’s Environmental Analysis Section (dated February 8, 2000) requested specific information from the applicant which was not required as part of the City’s completion check process for the CUP permit application, but was requested as necessary for staff to collect the technical studies required to initiate the project’s environmental review process.

On December 3, 1999, staff determined (via computer entry in the City’s Process 2000 system - P2K # 96003528-P-1) that the application for this project was “complete” in terms of the minimum plan and document submittal information required for staff to begin their initial review of the proposed development and; therefore, has determined that the project is subject to the ordinance provisions in effect for this site (i.e. CA zone) prior to effectuation of the LDC.

Issue: SDG&E concurrence - In the previous appeal of this project to the Planning Commission (February 1, 2001), the Sorrento Hills Planning Board indicated that SDG&E did not have an opportunity to review the currently proposed plans for this project (which included revisions to the project’s original site plan).

STAFF RESPONSE:

Copies of the revised plans for this project were distributed to SDG&E on March 24, 2000. On March 13, 2001, staff received a letter from Kathy Babcock, Land Management Representative, Semptra Energy confirming that SDG&E had completed its analysis of Chevron's proposed development plans for this site, and had determined that no adverse impacts to the existing overhead electric facilities located within SDG&E's existing easement to the south are anticipated to occur as a result of the construction of a gas station on the project site.

Issue: canopy signage - The Chevron appellant (applicant) has indicated an objection to the Planning Commission's requirement that Chevron's standard "blue stripe" and "Chevron" wordmark be eliminated from the steel canopy proposed above the fuel pump area (condition 45.a - Attachment 4).

STAFF RESPONSE:

The Planning Commission recommended this change based primarily upon testimony provided by residents in the area who indicated their concern that the standard blue paint stripe and "Chevron" name proposed on the side panel of the 29-foot tall canopy would create a visual impact, and would be inconsistent with the canopy signage of existing gas stations located within the Carmel Valley Community.

The applicant argues in their appeal that the canopy's side panel, which proposes the blue paint stripe and wordmark, would not face the northern frontage (in the direction of the existing homes across Carmel Mountain Road), and that these elements of the canopy design are consistent with signage requirements of the City's Sign Code. Although these canopy features comply with the requirements of the Sign Code standards, staff believes that eliminating the "blue stripe" and "Chevron" wordmark from the canopy (as approved by the Planning Commission) would achieve a greater level of consistency with the Community Plan's existing neighborhood-commercial designation for this site.

Staff therefore recommends that the City Council adopt the Planning Commission's recommendation to eliminate the blue stripe and wordmark from the gas station canopy, and has incorporated the Commission's recommendation as a specific requirement of the project's CUP permit (Attachment 4).

CONCLUSION:

Staff supports the intensity, siting, and design of the commercial uses proposed on this site and has determined (via the draft "Findings of Approval" - Attachment 5) that the project is consistent with the purpose and intent of the Sorrento Hills Community Plan, which identifies a gas station and car wash as permitted uses on this site, subject to discretionary approval of a CUP and PCD Permit (as proposed by this project).

ALTERNATIVES:

1. Approve the proposed project with modified or additional conditions as may be

deemed necessary by the City Council to adopt the “Findings of Approval” in Attachment 5.

2. Deny the proposed project.

Respectfully submitted,

Tina P. Christiansen, A.I.A.
Development Services Director

Approved: George I. Loveland
Senior Deputy City Manager

KZS: Sullivan: 446-5225

Note: Only Attachment Nos. 4 and 5 are available in electronic format. Copies of Attachment Nos. 1 -3 and 6 - 14 are available for review in the Office of the City Clerk.

Attachments:

1. Location Map
2. Site Plan
3. Landscape Plan
4. Draft CUP/PCD Permit
5. Draft CUP/PCD Findings
6. Building Elevations
7. Ownership Disclosure
8. Project Chronology
9. Letters of Appeal
10. Community Group Recommendation
11. Sign Plan
12. Community Plan text
13. Community Plan Land Use Map
14. Project Traffic Information